

Tender Evaluation

Project Title **Goldsmiths Toilet Refurbishment Tender**

Tenders Received	

Tender Evaluation Criteria/ Matrix

MEAT	Overall Weighting	Category	Category Weighting	Element	Element Weighting
PRICE	20%	Financial	20%	Cost/ Competativeness	Price Evaluation Matrix
QUALITY	80%	Technical	15%	Capability of company to carry out the specified works	5%
				Materials manufactured in house or readily available.	5%
				Programme of works provided and in line with timescales requests.	5%
		Health and Safety	16%	Relevant accreditations in H&S and as required for trades used. E.g NICEIC	4%
				Detailed working practices and procedures/ emergency procedures	4%
				Appropriate Health and Safety Policy provided or available via website.	4%
				Competent contractor with experience, training and qualifications.	4%
		Service Delivery	18%	Company history and experience in the required trades.	4%
				References provided of previous work and received satisfactory responses.	3%
				Communication structure, provides a responsible person for contact during works	3%
				Ability to complete works before July 2022.	4%
				Insurance Cover in place sufficient	4%
		Quality	15%	Customer Care/ Warranty of material and workmanship	4%
				Quality of previous work from references/ local projects viewed.	3%
				Professional Membership/ Accreditation	2%
				Experience on similar projects	4%
				Aftercare provided	2%
		Environment	16%	Policy/ Commitment to reducing greenhouse gases	4%
				Distance from site to company for travel during project. Target of 40 miles.	4%
				Materials supplied impact. E.G recycled vs primary manufacture process.	4%

		Waste Management and disposal methods/ recycling	4%
--	--	---	----

Scoring System

Excellent	Exceeds the required standard. Response answers the question with precision and relevance. Includes improvement through innovation/ added value	5
Good	Meets the standard required. Comprehensive response in terms of detail and relevance to the question.	4
Acceptable	Meets the standard in most aspects but fails in some areas. Acceptable level of detail, accuracy and relevance.	3
Limited	Fails the standard in most aspects but meets some. Limited information/ inadequate/ only partially addressess the question.	2
Inadequate	Significantly fails to meet the standard. Inadequate detail provided/ questions not answered/ answers not directly relevant to the question.	1
Not Eligible for Consideration	Completely fails to meet the standard. Response significantly deficient/ no response	0

Pricing Evaluation

	Percentage Rating	Value of Quote
		20%
Quote A	19%	£ 80,500.00
	18%	£ 81,000.00
	17%	£ 81,500.00
	16%	£ 82,000.00
	15%	£ 82,500.00
	14%	£ 83,000.00
	13%	£ 83,500.00
	12%	£ 84,000.00
	11%	£ 84,500.00
	10%	£ 85,000.00
	9%	£ 85,500.00
	8%	£ 86,000.00
	7%	£ 86,500.00
	6%	£ 87,000.00
	5%	£ 87,500.00
	4%	£ 88,000.00
	3%	£ 88,500.00
	2%	£ 89,000.00
	1%	£ 89,500.00
0%	£ 90,000.00	